| 1 | |
|-----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | NEW YORK CITY TEACHERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM |
| 4 | BOARD MEETING |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Held on Thursday, June 15, 2017, at 55 Water |
| 8 | Street, New York, New York |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ATTENDEES: |
| 11 | JOHN ADLER, Chairman, Trustee |
| 12 | DAVID KAZANSKY, Trustee |
| 13 | THOMAS BROWN, Trustee |
| 14 | SUSANNAH VICKERS, Trustee |
| 15 | RAYMOND ORLANDO, Trustee |
| 16 | PATRICIA REILLY, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 17 | SUSAN STANG, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 18 | VALERIE BUDZIK, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | REPORTED BY: |
| 22 | YAFFA KAPLAN |
| 23 | JOB NO. 0410028 |
| 24 | |
| 0.5 | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | ATTENDEES (Continued): |
| 3 | ELLEN DeLEO, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 4 | LIZ SANCHEZ, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 5 | ANNETTE HANHARAN, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 6 | ANTHONY MEZZACAPPA, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 7 | ROBERT BETHELMY, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 8 | CARMELA CRIVELLI, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 9 | RENEE PEARCE, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 10 | MARTA ROSS, ESQ., Law Department |
| 11 | SHERRY CHAN, Chief Actuary |
| 12 | MELVYN AARONSON, Teachers' Retirement System |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ADLER: Good afternoon. Welcome to |
| 3 | the Teachers' Retirement System board meeting |
| 4 | for June 15, 2017, the last meeting of the |
| 5 | school year. Patricia, will you please call |
| 6 | the roll? |
| 7 | MS. REILLY: John Adler? |
| 8 | MR. ADLER: I am here. |
| 9 | MS. REILLY: Thomas Brown? |
| 10 | MR. BROWN: Here. |
| 11 | MS. REILLY: David Kazansky? |
| 12 | MR. KAZANSKY: Present. |
| 13 | MS. REILLY: Raymond Orlando? |
| 14 | MR. ORLANDO: I am here. |
| 15 | MS. REILLY: Debra Penny? Susannah |
| 16 | Vickers? |
| 17 | MS. VICKERS: Here. |
| 18 | MS. REILLY: We do have a quorum, and |
| 19 | next on the agenda we have an update on TRS |
| 20 | operations. Ellen DeLeo is going to do that |
| 21 | for us. |
| 22 | MS. DeLEO: I only have one update |
| 23 | regarding summer retirements. TRS has |
| 24 | received 954 retirement applications that are |
| 25 | effective July 2017, and an additional 44 |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | retirement applications effective August 2017. |
| 3 | As of June 15th, the number of applications |
| 4 | received today is slightly lower than last |
| 5 | year's applications received, which is 1,011 |
| 6 | for the same period. |
| 7 | MS. REILLY: Thank you. Next on the |
| 8 | agenda is a report from the Actuary. |
| 9 | MS. CHAN: Thank you, Patricia. So I |
| 10 | have a couple of items really. One is the |
| 11 | fiscal notes report. This is a packet that |
| 12 | went out that contains two fiscal notes, but |
| 13 | then subsequent to my office submitting the |
| 14 | board material, there was a fiscal note that |
| 15 | was issued, so there is a total of three that |
| 16 | was attached separately. All three are COLA |
| 17 | fiscal notes. One that was issued on May 19th |
| 18 | had to do with increasing the calculation of |
| 19 | the COLA from 50 percent of the Consumer Price |
| 20 | Index to 100 percent of the Consumer Price |
| 21 | Index. For TRS that would increase |
| 22 | contributions by approximately \$275 million a |
| 23 | year. |
| 24 | The second fiscal note, issued on May |
| 25 | 22nd as I said, also has to do with the cost |

| of living adjustment. That is increasing the |
|--|
| maximum cap from 3 percent to 5 percent and |
| because in the last 35 years the because |
| the COLA is calculated on 50 percent of the |
| index, the last time that it was the CPI |
| was above 60 percent was over 35 years ago. |
| So the way we price this was assuming that the |
| CPI was at 8 percent for the prior year, which |
| 50 percent of that would be 4 percent, so that |
| would increase the COLA for 1 percent for the |
| maximum 3 percent we price it, assuming a |
| one-year increase that it would hit 8 percent |
| which we do not feel it would, but if it were, |
| then it would increase the contributions by |
| 15.6 million. |

Then the third fiscal note that was attached was issued on June 9th, increasing the COLA for members retired between 1961 and 1972. The cost was only \$180,600 for Teachers because there are only 40 members -- retirees rather, that were affected by this proposed legislation because even if you retired in 1972, it's 45 years since you retired, and assuming you retired at age 65, you have to be

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | 110 years old. |
| 3 | MR. ADLER: But if you retire at age 55, |
| 4 | you would only be 100 years old. |
| 5 | MS. CHAN: The second item on my report |
| 6 | is the loan insurance premium rate. There is |
| 7 | a report that is attached with the analysis of |
| 8 | the fund balance as well as the claim |
| 9 | experience. I am proposing to keep it at 0.2 |
| 10 | percent. |
| 11 | MS. REILLY: Next is the executive |
| 12 | director's report. So it has been and on |
| 13 | the executive director's report, it's the |
| 14 | matter of the meeting minutes excuse me, |
| 15 | the next meeting. It's been suggested that |
| 16 | the next regular meeting for the Teachers' |
| 17 | Retirement Board be held on the fourth |
| 18 | Thursday of the month, which is September |
| 19 | 21st, but we have been told that there is a |
| 20 | conflict with that so we are suggesting |
| 21 | September 28th. |
| 22 | MR. ADLER: Hold on a second. Are we |
| 23 | getting into the Jewish holidays? |
| 24 | MR. KAZANSKY: That's the 21st. |
| 25 | MR. ADLER: Okay. Thank you for |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | pointing that out. So the 28th is in between. |
| 3 | That's fine with me. Anybody else have a |
| 4 | conflict? Okay. |
| 5 | MS. REILLY: So that will be the 28th. |
| 6 | Next is the authorization to the |
| 7 | Comptroller of the City of New York to invest |
| 8 | certain funds. |
| 9 | MR. KAZANSKY: Please waive the reading. |
| 10 | MR. ADLER: We need a motion to approve |
| 11 | the quarterly authorization to the Comptroller |
| 12 | to invest certain funds. Is there such a |
| 13 | motion? |
| 14 | MR. KAZANSKY: So moved. |
| 15 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 16 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 17 | MR. ADLER: Any discussion? Okay. All |
| 18 | in favor of the motion to authorize the |
| 19 | Comptroller to invest certain funds, please |
| 20 | say aye. Aye. |
| 21 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 25 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say may. |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | Any abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 3 | MS. REILLY: Next is the authorization |
| 4 | to the Comptroller of the City of New York to |
| 5 | invest certain tax-deferred annuity funds. |
| 6 | MR. KAZANSKY: Again, please waive the |
| 7 | reading. |
| 8 | MR. ADLER: Okay. So this is the |
| 9 | quarterly authorization to the Comptroller to |
| 10 | invest tax-deferred annuity funds. Is there a |
| 11 | motion? |
| 12 | MR. KAZANSKY: So moved. |
| 13 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 14 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 15 | MR. ADLER: Any discussion? All right. |
| 16 | All in favor of the motion to authorize the |
| 17 | Comptroller to invest certain tax-deferred |
| 18 | annuity funds, please say aye. Aye. |
| 19 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 24 | Any abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 25 | MS. REILLY: Next is the Actuary's |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | recommendation regarding the Tier III, IV, and |
| 3 | VI Loan Insurance Premium Rate of fiscal year |
| 4 | 2018. |
| 5 | MR. KAZANSKY: Please skip to the |
| 6 | resolved. |
| 7 | MS. REILLY: "Resolved, that the |
| 8 | Retirement Board hereby adopts the |
| 9 | recommendation of the Actuary as stated in her |
| 10 | report dated May 19, 2017, and stipulates the |
| 11 | Tier III, IV, and VI Loan Insurance Premium |
| 12 | Rate be continued at its current rate of .20 |
| 13 | percent per annum of the outstanding loan |
| 14 | balances for any loans originating during |
| 15 | fiscal year 2018, i.e. July 1, 2017 through |
| 16 | June 30, 2018. |
| 17 | MR. ADLER: Thank you. Is there a |
| 18 | motion? |
| 19 | MR. BROWN: So moved. |
| 20 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 21 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 22 | MR. ADLER: Okay. Motion made and |
| 23 | seconded. Any discussion? All right. All in |
| 24 | favor of the motion to approve the Actuary's |
| 25 | recommendation regarding the Loan Insurance |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | Premium Rate for fiscal year 2018, please say |
| 3 | aye. Aye. |
| 4 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 9 | Any abstentions? Okay. Motion carries. |
| 10 | MS. REILLY: Next is attendance at a |
| 11 | conference. "The following resolution is |
| 12 | presented for consideration and possible |
| 13 | adoption: Resolved, that the Trustees of the |
| 14 | Teachers' Retirement Board hereby approve the |
| 15 | attendance and participation of the Executive |
| 16 | Director and/or her designees and any |
| 17 | interested Trustee at the Wharton University |
| 18 | of Pennsylvania's Investment Strategies and |
| 19 | Portfolio Management Program from September |
| 20 | 11th through the 15, 2017". |
| 21 | MR. ADLER: Is there a motion? |
| 22 | MS. VICKERS: So moved. |
| 23 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 24 | MR. KAZANSKY: Second. |
| 25 | MR. ADLER: Motion maded and seconded. |

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | Any discussion? All in favor to approve the |
| 3 | attendance at the Wharton University |
| 4 | Conference from September 11th to 15, 2017, |
| 5 | please say aye. Aye. |
| 6 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. ADLER: All oppposed, please say |
| 11 | nay. Any abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 12 | MS. REILLY: Next is attendance at a |
| 13 | conference. "Resolved, that the Trustees of |
| 14 | the Retirement Board hereby approve the |
| 15 | attendance and participation of the Executive |
| 16 | Director and/or her designees and any |
| 17 | interested Trustee at the Council of |
| 18 | Institutional Investors, CII, Fall 2017 |
| 19 | Conference, from September 13th through the |
| 20 | 15, 2017. |
| 21 | MR. ADLER: Very good. Is there a |
| 22 | motion? |
| 23 | MR. BROWN: So moved. |
| 24 | MR. ADLER: Is there a |
| 25 | MS VICKERS: Second |

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ADLER: Motion made and seconded. |
| 3 | Any discussion? All right. All in favor of |
| 4 | the motion to approve attendance at the CII |
| 5 | Conference from September 13th to 15, 2017, |
| 6 | please say aye. Aye. |
| 7 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 12 | Any abstentions? Okay. Motion carries. |
| 13 | MS. REILLY: Next is the resolution |
| 14 | being presented for consideration and possible |
| 15 | adoption for attendance at a conference. |
| 16 | "Resolved, that the Trustees of the Retirement |
| 17 | Board hereby approve the attendance and |
| 18 | participation of the Executive Director and/or |
| 19 | her designees and any interested Trustee at |
| 20 | the Public Pension Trustees Fiduciary |
| 21 | Conference from October 3rd through 4, 2017". |
| 22 | MR. ADLER: Is there a motion? |
| 23 | MR. KAZANSKY: So moved. |
| 24 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 25 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ADLER: Any discussion? All in |
| 3 | favor of the motion to approve attendance at |
| 4 | the Public Pension Trustees Fiduciary |
| 5 | Conference from October 3rd to 4, 2017, please |
| 6 | say aye. Aye. |
| 7 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 12 | Any abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 13 | MS. REILLY: Okay. Next is the |
| 14 | calendar. First is the matter of the approval |
| 15 | of all of the minutes for the month of May, |
| 16 | 2017. That includes the CIM general session, |
| 17 | the CIM breakout, the TRS TDA investment |
| 18 | meetings, and the TRS board meeting. |
| 19 | MR. ADLER: Okay. Is there a motion to |
| 20 | approve all the minutes for the month of May, |
| 21 | 2017, as stipulated by Patricia? |
| 22 | MR. KAZANSKY: So moved. |
| 23 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 24 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 25 | MR. ADLER: Great. Any discussion? All |

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | in favor of approval of the minutes for all |
| 3 | the meetings for the month of May, 2017, |
| 4 | please say aye. Aye. |
| 5 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 10 | Abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 11 | MS. REILLY: Next is the calendar and I |
| 12 | will read items from the calendar. For your |
| 13 | monthly periodic payroll of TDA excess loan |
| 14 | payments, that's pages 1 through 14, |
| 15 | inclusive. Page 15 is the payroll summary, |
| 16 | accounts payable, new retiree, and TDA |
| 17 | accounts. Page 16 through 54, inclusive, are |
| 18 | the transfer of active TDA deferred to annuity |
| 19 | pavements. Pages 55 through 116, inclusive, |
| 20 | are fractional payments. Pages 117 through |
| 21 | 132, inclusive, are uncollected checks for |
| 22 | deceased members. Pages 133 through 149, |
| 23 | inclusive, are beneficiaries' continuing |
| 24 | options payments. Pages 150 through 155, |
| 25 | inclusive, are conversions to pop-up payments |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | Pages 156 through 224, inclusive, are |
| 3 | beneficiaries who receive one-time payments |
| 4 | from their QPP and TDA accounts. Pages 225 |
| 5 | through 227 is return net accumulated salary |
| 6 | deductions. Page 228, inclusive, are the |
| 7 | transfer of funds between banks. Pages 229 |
| 8 | through 233 is the purchase and sales of |
| 9 | securities transacted from the Passport Fund |
| 10 | Programs. Page 234 is successive |
| 11 | installments. Page 235 through 238 are |
| 12 | outstanding checks. Page 239 through 271, |
| 13 | inclusive, are provisions including those |
| 14 | under class coverage. Page 272 through 274 |
| 15 | are payments for service credit. Page 275 are |
| 16 | denied accident disability benefits. Page 276 |
| 17 | is the minimum accumulations. Page 277 are |
| 18 | rules and regulations for life insurance plan, |
| 19 | and page 278 are new service retirement |
| 20 | payments. That concludes the calendar. |
| 21 | MR. ADLER: Thank you. Is there a |
| 22 | motion to approve the calendar? |
| 23 | MR. BROWN: So moved. |
| 24 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 25 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ADLER: Motion made and seconded. |
| 3 | Any discussion? All right. All in favor of |
| 4 | approving the calendar, please say aye. Aye. |
| 5 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 10 | Any abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 11 | MS. REILLY: Under "other business", we |
| 12 | have a presentation from CEM. That's to be |
| 13 | given by Jody MacIntosh. |
| 14 | MS. MacINTOSH: Thank you very much. |
| 15 | Thank you for the opportunity to be here to |
| 16 | present to you today. As I go through the |
| 17 | presentation, please don't hesitate to ask me |
| 18 | questions. And I am going to start off by |
| 19 | telling you a little bit about CEM and then |
| 20 | what's included in our service in addition to |
| 21 | your report and then get into your actual |
| 22 | results. |
| 23 | So a bit about CEM. CEM stands for Cost |
| 24 | Effectiveness Measurement, with the emphasis |
| 25 | being on "effectiveness". We don't look at |

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | cost in isolation. We look at what you pay |
| 3 | and what value or service you are getting in |
| 4 | exchange for that. We were founded in Toronto |
| 5 | in '92, so we have been benchmarking pension |
| 6 | plans since that time. We benchmarked plans |
| 7 | in three areas: Pension administration, which |
| 8 | is what I am going to talk to you about today, |
| 9 | and then we also do DB and DC plans so on the |
| 10 | investment side. So there we are looking at |
| 11 | what costs you pay versus what value add did |
| 12 | you receive. |
| 13 | In terms of the benefits of |
| 14 | benchmarking, it helps if you can understand |
| 15 | how and why you are different from your peers. |
| 16 | Over the years, a lot of clients have told us |
| 17 | that they really appreciated the different |
| 18 | ideas that they can glean from our report, |
| 19 | from the benchmarking exercise, and they have |
| 20 | been able to significantly improve their |
| 21 | operations. Our tagline is "What gets |
| 22 | measured gets managed", and so we believe it's |
| 23 | important to messure where you are now that |
| 24 | you can improve yourself going forward. |
| 25 | So in addition to the report that we |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | provide, we also provide you access to our |
| 3 | online peer network. So that's an online |
| 4 | forum where you can go in and ask questions |
| 5 | and share knowledge with your peers. We also |
| 6 | publish pension administration research each |
| 7 | year, and we have the annual global best |
| 8 | practices conference. In terms of the |
| 9 | research that we publish, we are actually |
| 10 | doing two studies this year. The first is |
| 11 | what are the business objectives and practical |
| 12 | benefits of using social media. More and more |
| 13 | plans are using social media, so we are trying |
| 14 | to dig into I mean, it's great if you are |
| 15 | getting a whole lot of hits, but what does |
| 16 | that actually mean and what are you getting |
| 17 | from it. |
| 18 | We are also looking at trends in member |
| 19 | transactions. Are we seeing an increase or |
| 20 | decrease in certain transactions? And |
| 21 | especially in the vehicle. Are we seeing like |
| 22 | more transactions being done online or through |
| 23 | the call center et cetera. And then just |

some past ones that we have done. Trends in

employer services, telecommuting practices,

24

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | mobile apps, and we also did a case study with |
| 3 | Arizona State Retirement System in terms of |
| 4 | maximizing productivity. |
| 5 | Our annual conference thank you |
| 6 | you have been an active participant in our |
| 7 | annual conferences. Next year, 2018, it's in |
| 8 | Indianapolis and we always receive really good |
| 9 | feedback from clients and I think one of the |
| 10 | benefits that they really like is it's not |
| 11 | open to external providers, so I think they |
| 12 | feel they can share ideas freely. |
| 13 | So getting to your results. This is a |
| 14 | snapshot of our global pension system |
| 15 | universe. So there are 67 plans in there. |
| 16 | They are all public sector, DB, or hybrid |
| 17 | plans. I have got an asterisk by the Middle |
| 18 | East, Australia, and UK. That's because they |
| 19 | are primarily DC, so we just focus on your |
| 20 | other analyses and the other areas. So we |
| 21 | compare you to a custom peer group of 14 |
| 22 | peers. These were selected on membership |
| 23 | sizes as well as nationality. We look at size |
| 24 | because, of course, that's going to be a huge |

driver of your costs. We also look at

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | nationality because the complexity of plans |
| 3 | tends to vary through the globe with the US |
| 4 | having the most complex system, yours being |
| 5 | one of the most complex. So to give you a |
| 6 | fair comparison, we compare you to other US |
| 7 | systems which tend to be more complex. So you |
| 8 | are at 212,000 members, just somewhat smaller |
| 9 | than the peer medium one, which is 265,000. |
| 10 | So getting to your total cost, and we |
| 11 | look at costs per active member and annuitant. |
| 12 | You were \$281. That was \$163 above the peer |
| 13 | average of 117, and your total cost was just |
| 14 | over \$59 million, and on the next few slides I |
| 15 | am going to explain why your costs are higher. |
| 16 | So to start with, this is the model that |
| 17 | we use, so all these items feeds into your |
| 18 | cost per member. We look at economies of |
| 19 | scale, again, larger systems that can spread |
| 20 | their fixed costs over a larger member base, |
| 21 | so that's a big impact on costs. Workloads, |
| 22 | we look at the transactions per members. |
| 23 | Specifically front office transactions, and |
| 24 | what I mean by that is anything that impacts |

either a member or the employer. So for

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | example, a member calling your call center |
| 3 | would be considered a transaction. Or someone |
| 4 | applies for retirement, that's considered a |
| 5 | transaction. Productivity, we also look at |
| 6 | the number of transactions processed per FTE, |
| 7 | the full-time equivalent. You will see in a |
| 8 | number of slides, productivity is really |
| 9 | impacted by complexity, and of course, you are |
| 10 | very complex, so that tends to drive down your |
| 11 | productivity a bit. What you pay for FTE, for |
| 12 | salaries and benefits, building utilities, HR, |
| 13 | and IT, that's all built in. We also look at |
| 14 | what you pay for third-party costs and |
| 15 | miscellaneous costs. Third-party would be |
| 16 | anything you outsourced. So for example, if |
| 17 | you outsourced work to lawyers instead of |
| 18 | doing it internally, that would be |
| 19 | third-party, and other miscellaneous costs are |
| 20 | things like travel, attending conferences, |
| 21 | postage. And then finally back office |
| 22 | activity, and there we are looking at things |
| 23 | that don't impact the member or the employer |
| 24 | directly. So for example, your governance, |
| 25 | finance, and major projects, which you will |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | see is a big impact for you. That contains |
| 3 | your whole modernization project. |
| 4 | Okay. So here on the left side of the |
| 5 | screen are all the reasons I just talked |
| 6 | about. On the right side we have shown the |
| 7 | dollar impact per member, so that totals the |
| 8 | I said you are \$163 above the peer average. |
| 9 | So just going back through these, economies of |
| 10 | scale, you are slightly smaller than the peer |
| 11 | median. That increased your costs by 93 |
| 12 | cents. Higher transactions per member. You |
| 13 | do process a lot more transactions that have |
| 14 | an impact of \$24, and we will see on the next |
| 15 | slide exactly where you are doing more |
| 16 | transactions. |
| 17 | MR. ADLER: When you say "per member", |
| 18 | that's the participant, not the staff person? |
| 19 | MS. MacINTOSH: Correct. The next item |
| 20 | is your transactions per FTE. That's the |
| 21 | productivity number. So you are bit lower |
| 22 | there. In terms of productivity that added to |
| 23 | your soft cost of \$7 per member. However, |
| 24 | that's being driven by a lot. By two things. |
| 25 | One, you had an old system in place, yery |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | complex, so clearly your members or employees |
| 3 | are not going to be able to process as many |
| 4 | transactions. Lower cost per FTE so for |
| 5 | salaries, benefits, building utilities, HR, |
| 6 | and IT. Lower I would say about \$15 per |
| 7 | member. |
| 8 | MS. VICKERS: Just thinking out loud, |
| 9 | that's kind of surprising. You would think in |
| 10 | New York that building costs and salaries |
| 11 | would be higher. |
| 12 | MS. MacINTOSH: I do have a separate |
| 13 | slide on that that I will show you in a bit |
| 14 | more detail, but you are right. The only area |
| 15 | that is higher is the building cost where you |
| 16 | are located. Everything else is lower, which |
| 17 | is yes, surprising. So your third-party and |
| 18 | other costs is lower as well, and there is |
| 19 | where we see a big cost impact why you are |
| 20 | higher cost. So major projects impact is |
| 21 | almost \$90. That's your modernization |
| 22 | project. Here on the last timeline in your |
| 23 | other category, it's equipment costs related |
| 24 | to modernization project. So really all of |
| 25 | that was most of the additional \$154 due to |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | your modernization, which is completely |
| 3 | understandable. |
| 4 | MR. ADLER: I just want to ask a |
| 5 | question, and it's partly directed at Jody. |
| 6 | So the \$90 for the modernization project, is |
| 7 | that QPP and TDA? In other words, the full |
| 8 | cost or just the QPP part? |
| 9 | MS. REILLY: It's just the QPP part. |
| 10 | Because we extract everything to do with TDA |
| 11 | when we answer the survey because that's only |
| 12 | about QPP. |
| 13 | MR. ADLER: So the \$59 million budget, |
| 14 | that's just the QPP budget too; is that right? |
| 15 | MS. REILLY: Yes. |
| 16 | MR. ADLER: Thank you. |
| 17 | MS. MacINTOSH: And that's consistent |
| 18 | with the peers so similar peers. They are |
| 19 | restricted to those costs as well. So we are |
| 20 | getting apples and apples. |
| 21 | MR. ADLER: So just to be clear, if we |
| 22 | took out the modernization project, then |
| 23 | instead of \$163 above the peer median we would |
| 24 | just be 163 minus 90 so 73? |
| 25 | MS. MacINTOSH: Minus 90 and 50 so we |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | would be very close. |
| 3 | MR. ADLER: So the 50 is also related? |
| 4 | MS. MacINTOSH: Yes. Most of that is |
| 5 | related to equipment costs related to the |
| 6 | modernization project. So all of that, those |
| 7 | two items are both the modernization project. |
| 8 | MS. REILLY: Including it says |
| 9 | actuarial, legal, audit, and other? |
| 10 | MS. MacINTOSH: Yes. I looked at it |
| 11 | though because we questioned that ourselves |
| 12 | like why that was so high, but I looked at the |
| 13 | detail and that's what it is. |
| 14 | MR. ADLER: It's the modernization. So |
| 15 | really the modern basically the |
| 16 | modernization is the reason we are off the |
| 17 | charts. |
| 18 | MR. ORLANDO: Not Sherry's bill. |
| 19 | MS. MacINTOSH: Yes. You are higher |
| 20 | costs, but the bulk of that is going to go |
| 21 | away in a few years. So I had mentioned that |
| 22 | your transaction volumes were higher by about |
| 23 | 50 percent. You can see you are the highest |
| 24 | in your peer group, and that's added about \$24 |
| 25 | per member to your costs, and on the next |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | slide you will see exactly where you are doing |
| 3 | more transactions. And you can read that. So |
| 4 | here we are looking at like how many |
| 5 | transactions you processed versus the peers, |
| 6 | and then on the final column we give you the |
| 7 | actual dollar impact and it's important to |
| 8 | note here that whether you do more or less is |
| 9 | not really good or bad. It's just |
| 10 | understanding where you are doing more and |
| 11 | why. |
| 12 | So the two places that jump out are 1-D, |
| 13 | purchases and transfers in. So you are doing |
| 14 | almost 400 percent more of an impact of just |
| 15 | over \$15 per member, and I think we discussed |
| 16 | the reason for that is most of your teachers |
| 17 | start off on a substitute basis and then once |
| 18 | they do permanent and go back and purchase |
| 19 | past service, so that's driving up the number. |
| 20 | So there is a reason for that. |
| 21 | The second area, 2-A, calls and e-mails, |
| 22 | your volume is about 152 percent higher. The |
| 23 | impact is about \$10.68 per member, and that's |
| 24 | being driven by your call volumes. And just |

looking at my notes here, I think they have

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | always been quite high, your call volumes. I |
| 3 | do have a note here I believe you are |
| 4 | working on straight-through processing in |
| 5 | terms of the modernization project, and when |
| 6 | we see systems do that, once you put more of |
| 7 | that in place, the call volumes tend to come |
| 8 | down. |
| 9 | So here is your costs per FTE that we |
| 10 | spoke about earlier. So everything is lower. |
| 11 | Your salaries and benefits are lower than the |
| 12 | peer average. You are much higher on building |
| 13 | and utilities. You are almost double the peer |
| 14 | average, and again, that's not surprising |
| 15 | given where you are located. And we have some |
| 16 | stats to back that up in case anyone is |
| 17 | questioning that. This is from the Department |
| 18 | of Labor and you can see New York is way over |
| 19 | here in terms of the cost environment. |
| 20 | So looking at your back office |
| 21 | activities. So this is what had the greatest |
| 22 | impact, and again, most of this is being |
| 23 | driven by the modernization projects. So the |
| | |

major project line and the other categories

and we often see big differences on that major

24

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | project line. One is where you are in the |
| 3 | investment cycle. So for example, a number of |
| 4 | your peers, they did the upgrades a few years |
| 5 | back so their costs are now low, which in your |
| 6 | case, in a few years your costs will come |
| 7 | down. Okay, right. |
| 8 | And the other thing is plan complexity. |
| 9 | If you are a really complex plan, which you |
| 10 | are, your system upgrades are going to be that |
| 11 | much more difficult to do and much more |
| 12 | costly. |
| 13 | MR. KAZANSKY: I have a question. So |
| 14 | when systems take on special projects that |
| 15 | only exist for a certain period of time, you |
| 16 | guys don't have any way to set that aside from |
| 17 | the normal kind of day-to-day business? |
| 18 | Because what I am looking at here is just |
| 19 | based on that information, just because we are |
| 20 | doing this modernization project, we are going |
| 21 | to be out of whack as to what we might |
| 22 | normally be, and in my opinion, if you wanted |
| 23 | to do a fair assessment of the systems, you |
| 24 | would take those special projects out and see |
| 25 | how the systems truly compared in a more |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | apples to apples way. |
| 3 | MS. MacINTOSH: We have looked at it |
| 4 | both ways, and we have landed on it's better |
| 5 | to keep them in. We look at a five-year cost |
| 6 | trend, and that's where you will see what you |
| 7 | are talking about. You will see when your |
| 8 | costs start coming down back to a more normal |
| 9 | level, but no, I certainly hear your point, |
| 10 | and like I said, we have looked at it both |
| 11 | ways, but just because there are so many |
| 12 | things going on in that bucket that yes, we |
| 13 | decided to keep them in. |
| 14 | MR. ADLER: Can I ask, the first line |
| 15 | there, "governance and financial control", |
| 16 | what's covered under that? I am curious as to |
| 17 | why our costs are higher for that area. |
| 18 | MR. ADLER: That would be like this, for |
| 19 | instance. Your board oversight and financial |
| 20 | control would be front office, so again, |
| 21 | anything that isn't front office that isn't |
| 22 | impacting the member or the employer directly. |
| 23 | It's that whole oversight role. |
| 24 | MR. ADLER: Okay. Thank you. |
| 25 | MS. MacINTOSH: So we are here showing |

| Τ | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | your complexity score. It's a score out of |
| 3 | 100. You are at 92 versus the peer which is |
| 4 | at 63, so you are definitely more complex. To |
| 5 | a larger extent, you don't have control over |
| 6 | this. A lot of the different rules are based |
| 7 | on legislation, union agreements, so it's not |
| 8 | really in your control, but it's important to |
| 9 | understand that it does impact your staff |
| 10 | productivity, and it does impact your major |
| 11 | project costs in terms of upgrading your |
| 12 | systems. So in terms of your cost trends, you |
| 13 | can see from 14 to 15 a big spike. When you |
| 14 | started doing your modernization program, your |
| 15 | costs during this time frame went up 12 |
| 16 | percent versus your peers at 5 percent, and |
| 17 | again it's mainly due to the upgrades. |
| 18 | MR. ADLER: This year was good. Went |
| 19 | down from last year. |
| 20 | MS. MacINTOSH: Okay. So now I am |
| 21 | switching from costs over to your service |
| 22 | score. So your service score was 66. That |
| 23 | was below the peer median of 83. And you can |
| 24 | see where you are versus your peers, and we |
| 25 | look at service, we look at it from a members |

| Proceedings |
|-------------|
| |

| 2 | perspective. So they typically want like |
|---|---|
| 3 | faster turnaround times, better quality, they |
| 4 | want more channels, et cetera. |

And here are some examples of the different categories that go into our service score. These are some of the ones that get the highest weight, so I will walk through some of these that will help you understand how you are different than your peers.

so the first part is the call center, and that is given a really high weight in our methodology. "Percentage of calls resulting in undesired outcomes". So that means somebody calls in, and they get a busy signal or they are left waiting too long, they just hang up. So you are at 11 percent versus the peer average at 9. I understand that's a bit of an anomaly. This year I believe you sent out a member statement that generated a lot of questions, so you had a really high spike in call volumes which caused I guess a number of people just phoning in and hanging up. So you should see big improvement in that number next year because you won't have that issue to deal

| Т | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | with. |
| 3 | "Average total waiting time including |
| 4 | time negotiating auto attendance", we are |
| 5 | currently showing you at 271 seconds. The |
| 6 | peer average is 4. A bit of an issue. Part |
| 7 | of it is your IVR has a lot of layers to it, |
| 8 | and I understand you may visit that at some |
| 9 | point. We are not sure if that number is |
| 10 | quite accurate. We think you may have given |
| 11 | us sort of the worst-case scenario, right, of |
| 12 | how long somebody would wait before reaching |
| 13 | someone. |
| 14 | MS. REILLY: Instead of the average, we |
| 15 | gave you the worst-case scenario so we hurt |
| 16 | ourselves. |
| 17 | MS. MacINTOSH: So I suspect next year |
| 18 | you will look much better. |
| 19 | MS. REILLY: I think we are about 4 |
| 20 | percent. The end of the period for the review |
| 21 | for next year is the end of June, and we are |
| 22 | at like 4 percent and we were at 4 percent |
| 23 | last year. |
| 24 | MS. MacINTOSH: I am talking about the |
| 25 | one above That will have a huge impact on |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | your score because the call-in center is |
| 3 | weighted. In terms of the member website, |
| 4 | "can members access their own data in a secure |
| 5 | environment", yes, similar to the peer |
| 6 | average. Do you have an online calculator, |
| 7 | one linked to member data. You do similar to |
| 8 | the peer average. Number of other website |
| 9 | tools offered such as changing address |
| 10 | information, registering for counseling |
| 11 | sessions and for workshops, viewing or |
| 12 | printing tax receipts, et cetera, you are at |
| 13 | 9. The peer average is at 13. I understand |
| 14 | with the modernization program, the number is |
| 15 | expected to increase, so again that will have |
| 16 | a big impact on your score next year. |
| 17 | One-on-one counseling and member preparations. |
| 18 | Percentage of active membership that attend a |
| 19 | one-on-one counseling session. You have great |
| 20 | results there, 16.8. The peer average is over |
| 21 | 6.7. So that's really good news. |
| 22 | The next line again is a bit of an issue |
| 23 | with the data here. Percentage of your active |
| 24 | members that had attended a presentation. We |
| 25 | are showing you at 1.1 versus the peer average |

| 2 | of 6.3. The issue there, our model doesn't |
|----|--|
| 3 | account for the fact that you do offer a lot |
| 4 | of presentations to your members through your |
| 5 | union I understand. Our model doesn't give |
| 6 | you credit for that. We give you credit for |
| 7 | presentations that are directly offered by New |
| 8 | York City Teachers. We are going to revisit |
| 9 | our model. We are sort of rethinking if that |
| 10 | makes sense. So you know, if we do change our |
| 11 | model you should see a big bump up in that |
| 12 | result next year. Pension inception, so what |
| 13 | percentage of the annuity pension inceptions |
| 14 | are paid without interruption of cash flow |
| 15 | greater than one month between final paycheck |
| 16 | and the first pension check. Here you are at |
| 17 | 99 percent. Peer average is at 90 and that's |
| 18 | an automatic result because at the end of the |
| 19 | day, that's what you are here for is to get |
| 20 | checks into the hands of retirees. That's |
| 21 | great that you are getting that result. |
| 22 | Finally is member statements. How current is |
| 23 | member's data. You are ahead at two months |
| 24 | versus the peer average of 2.5. And do |
| 25 | statements provide an estimate of the future |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | pension entitlements, yes, similar to the peer |
| 3 | average. |
| 4 | So in terms of your service score trend, |
| 5 | it did increase by 1 point over the last four |
| 6 | years. That may not sound like a lot, but if |
| 7 | you look at the peer line, the light blue line |
| 8 | that only went up by 2 points. So our model |
| 9 | is not that easy to get up on a point, and so |
| 10 | the fact that you did that is good news. That |
| 11 | is what was driven primarily by your call |
| 12 | center. The percentage of undesired call |
| 13 | outcomes decreased from 18 to 11 percent, and |
| 14 | your group counseling percentage of active |
| 15 | members increased from .6 to 1.1, and like I |
| 16 | said earlier, that may go up a bit more next |
| 17 | year. |
| 18 | So finally, your key takeaways. You are |
| 19 | a higher cost system, but it's being driven |
| 20 | mostly by your modernization costs. Your |
| 21 | service score is below median, but there is a |
| 22 | few issues that I mentioned. One being the |
| 23 | timing issue for your calls, which we are |
| | |

going to correct, as well as our methodology

in terms of the member presentations, so I

24

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | think once those things are looked at, we |
| 3 | should see your score going up, and once your |
| 4 | system modernization system is complete, you |
| 5 | will see costs come down and probably see a |
| 6 | bump up in the service score as well. So that |
| 7 | was everything I was going to comment on |
| 8 | unless there is any additional questions, |
| 9 | comments. |
| 10 | MR. ADLER: Any other questions? Great, |
| 11 | Jody. Thank you so much for coming in and |
| 12 | making the presentation to us. We appreciate |
| 13 | it. |
| 14 | MS. MacINTOSH: Thank you. |
| 15 | MS. REILLY: Next on the agenda is |
| 16 | questions or comments from the public. Seeing |
| 17 | none |
| 18 | MR. ADLER: So I think we need to go |
| 19 | into executive session. So do we have a |
| 20 | motion to exit public session, and go into |
| 21 | executive session? |
| 22 | MR. BROWN: I move pursuant to Public |
| 23 | Officers Law Section 105 to go into executive |
| 24 | session for discussion regarding specific |
| 25 | investment matters. |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 3 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 4 | MR. ADLER: Motion made and seconded. |
| 5 | Any discussion? All in favor of the motion, |
| 6 | please say aye. Aye. |
| 7 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 12 | Any abstentions? Motion carries. |
| 13 | (Whereupon, the meeting went into Executive |
| 14 | Session.) |
| 15 | MR. BROWN: Motion to exit executive |
| 16 | session and go back into public session. |
| 17 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 18 | MR. ADLER: Motion made and seconded. |
| 19 | Any discussion? All in favor of the motion, |
| 20 | please say aye. Aye. |
| 21 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 25 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|--|
| 2 | Any abstentions? Okay. Motion carries. |
| 3 | We are back in public session. Susan? |
| 4 | MS. STANG: Great. In executive |
| 5 | session, an investment matter was discussed. |
| 6 | Consensus was reached, which will be announced |
| 7 | at the appropriate time. |
| 8 | MR. ADLER: Okay, thank you. So I |
| 9 | believe that concludes our business for today. |
| 10 | Is there a motion to adjourn? |
| 11 | MR. KAZANSKY: So moved. |
| 12 | MR. ADLER: Is there a second? |
| 13 | MS. VICKERS: Second. |
| 14 | MR. ADLER: Any discussion? No. All in |
| 15 | favor of the motion to adjourn, please say |
| 16 | aye. Aye. |
| 17 | MS. VICKERS: Aye. |
| 18 | MR. ORLANDO: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. KAZANSKY: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay. |
| 22 | Any abstentions? Great. The meeting is |
| 23 | adjourned. |
| 24 | (Time noted: 4:23 p.m.) |
| 25 | |

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | STATE OF NEW YORK) |
| 4 | : ss. |
| 5 | COUNTY OF QUEENS) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | I, YAFFA KAPLAN, a Notary Public |
| 8 | within and for the State of New York, do |
| 9 | hereby certify that the foregoing record of |
| 10 | proceedings is a full and correct |
| 11 | transcript of the stenographic notes taken |
| 12 | by me therein. |
| 13 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto |
| 14 | set my hand this 26th day of June, 2017. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | YAFFA KAPLAN |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |